

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,351	12/10/2001	Sayling Wen	3313-0435P-SP	1662
2292 7	7590 06/09/2005		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STEV	WART KOLASCH &	ALBERTALLI, BRIAN LOUIS		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2655	

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/006,351	WEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian L Albertalli	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 F</u> 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	<del>, _</del>					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Into-t 0	(DTO 442)				
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5)  Notice of Informal F  6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Amendment

1. The amendments to the claims have been entered. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are currently amended.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 (see page 8, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph of Applicant's arguments) have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to include the limitation that a word processing software is activated <u>from a plurality of word processing softwares</u>.

The Applicant further argued (see page 9, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph) that the Applicant's invention provides a method to provide auxiliary writing models for word processing software applications that *do not require the users to use a dedicated word processing system*.

This limitation, however, is not present in the amended claims. Claim 1 merely requires that a word processing software is activated *from a plurality of word processing softwares*.

Buchanan et al. clearly disclose a personal computer system (Fig. 1, 10) that has a word processing software (6) that meets the limitations of the claims. Buchanan et al. do not *explicitly* disclose that other software is available on the personal computer 10, because the word processing software (6) is the focus of the invention. Therefore, the previous rejection of claim 1 is withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,351

Art Unit: 2655

Page 3

However, Levine et al. (*Windows 98: The Complete Reference*) disclose a widely available operating system (Windows 98, see page 4) available at the time of invention. The operating system includes two word processing softwares (Winpad and Wordpad, see page 74). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the word processing software disclosed by Buchanan et al. in operating system disclosed by Levine et al., so that the word processing software disclosed by Buchanan et al. would be compatible with a vast majority of personal computer users. Furthermore, activating the word processing software disclosed by Buchanan et al. in the operating system disclosed by Levine et al., would necessarily be activating a word processing software from a plurality of word processing softwares, because the operating system disclosed by Levine et al. includes a plurality of additional word processing softwares that are available to the user.

### **Drawings**

3. The amendments to the drawings overcome the objections made in the previous Office Action. The objections to the drawings are withdrawn.

#### Claim Objections

4. The amendments to the claims overcome the objections made in the previous Office Action. The objections to the claims are withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,351 Page 4

Art Unit: 2655

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buchanan et al. (U.S. Patent 5,148,366), in view of Chen (U.S. Patent 6,073,146), and further in view of Levine et al. (*Windows 98: The Complete Reference*).

In regard to claim 1, Buchanan et al. disclose an input method for providing an auxiliary writing model to speed up inputting; the method comprising:

activating a word processing software and opening a file editing block by the word processing software (Fig. 4, graphics window environment 50 is used for manipulating documents that are displayed in middle section window 54, column 4, lines 66-67 and column 5, lines 1-4);

activating an input method interface through the file editing block (a menu of possible document structures 22 is displayed, column 7, lines 20-23);

selecting a writing model active button on the input method interface and executing a writing model menu module in the input method interface to generate a writing model content corresponding to the writing model active button (the user selects a particular document structure 22, column 7, lines 23-26; selecting from a listed menu is equivalent in operation to selecting an active button); and

the writing model content database returning the corresponding writing model content to the file editing block (the document structure 22 is shown for review by the user, column 7, lines 26-28 and see Fig. 9, wherein a document structure is displayed in the middle section window, not labeled).

Buchanan et al. further disclose that the input method provides significant cost savings and increased efficiency (column 9, lines 54-58).

Buchanan et al. does not disclose that the input method is used for Chinese.

Chinese characters, which require several keystrokes on a standard keyboard for each character input (column 1, lines 16-21 and lines 35-39) and discloses a method of inputting Chinese that reduces the number of keystrokes necessary to input Chinese by checking a database (Chinese syllable list 700) and returning writing content (unabbreviated Pinyin syllable) to an editing block (column 12, lines 35-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Buchanan et al. to input Chinese, in order to further reduce the number of keystrokes needed to input Chinese by automatically entering commonly used writing models so that the user would only have to input a few unique terms.

Neither Buchanan et al. nor Chen et al. disclose the word processing software is activated from a plurality of word processing softwares.

Levine et al. (*Windows 98: The Complete Reference*) disclose a widely available operating system (Windows 98, see page 4) available at the time of invention. The operating system includes two word processing softwares (Notepad and Wordpad, see

Art Unit: 2655

page 74). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the word processing software disclosed by Buchanan et al. in operating system disclosed by Levine et al., so that the word processing software disclosed by Buchanan et al. would be compatible with a vast majority of personal computer users. Furthermore, activating the word processing software disclosed by Buchanan et al. in the operating system disclosed by Levine et al., would necessarily be activating a word processing software from a plurality of word processing softwares, because the operating system disclosed by Levine et al. includes a plurality of additional word processing softwares that are available to the user.

In regard to claim 2, Buchanan et al. disclose the word processing software is a word processing application software executable on a hardware platform of a computer (Fig. 1, multi-document word processor 6 executes on computer 10, column 3, lines 28-31).

Furthermore, in the combination of Buchanan et al., Chen and Levine et al., as applied to claim 1, above, Levine et al. disclose the additional word processing programs (Notepad and Wordpad) are word processing application software executable on a hardware platform of a computer (central processor, see page 4).

In regard to claim 3, Buchanan et al. disclose the computer is a personal computer (see Fig. 1, computer 10 is clearly a personal computer).

In regard to claims 4 and 5, Buchanan et al. does not disclose that the operation of activating the input method interface (menu of possible document structures 22) is performed through a predetermined hot key, or that a key combination of the hot key comprises 0~9, letter keys A~Z, function keys F1~12, and special keys ESC, TAB, PgUp, END.

Page 7

Official notice is taken that it is notoriously well known and recognized in the art to activate a selection menu interface through the use of a hot key, and that a combination of keys on a standard keyboard can be assigned as a hotkey.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Buchanan et al. to activate the input method interface (menu of possible document structures 22) through either a predetermined hotkey or a key combination of keys on a standard keyboard, in order to allow the user to activate the input method interface without having to remove their hands form the keyboard, thereby increasing the speed at which text could be input.

In regard to claims 6 and 7, Buchanan et al. disclose the method of executing the writing model menu module comprises:

generating a writing model item menu list (Fig. 11, previously defined document structures are displayed, column 8, lines 15-18);

determining whether to increase a customized model item or not (checking to see whether several separate reports are desirable, column 8, lines 18-20);

Application/Control Number: 10/006,351

Art Unit: 2655

a user choosing a required writing model item (a user selects a document structure 22 to add to the multiple document structure definition 72, column 8, lines 30-32);

searching corresponding contents through the writing model content database; selecting a file of increased customized model contents; and

adding the increased customized model contents into a writing model content database (after selection by the user, the document structures 22 are added to the multiple document structure definition 72 and stored on electronic storage device 20, column 8, lines 32-35; in order to store the multiple document structure definition 72, the document structures 22 used to create it must necessarily be searched for in the database and the files selected to be added to the multiple document structure definition 72).

In regard to claim 8, Buchanan et al. disclose the file of increased customized model contents (multiple document structure definition 72) is a text-only file (the document structures 22 used to create the multiple document structure definition 72 are comprised of phrase fields 40 of text and option text segments, therefore the multiple document structure definition 72 must also be text-only, column 3, lines 54-59).

In regard to claim 9, Buchanan et al. does not disclose the writing model item menu list is presented with a popup window way by selecting a writing model active button. Both the writing model item menu (document structures 22, in the context as

Art Unit: 2655

shown in Fig. 11 when increased model contents are being created) and the writing model active button (document structures 22, in the context of writing the model content to the file editing block as discussed in column 7, lines 20-23) are accessed through the drop down Report menu, as show in Fig. 4 (the former through the Reports create/update/delete menu choice, and the latter through the Single report menu choice 53, column 7, lines 20-23).

Page 9

Official notice is taken that it is notoriously well known and recognized in the art to present lists with a popup window, and that providing means to move from one window to another without the user having to minimize windows to access a drop down menu reduces the amount of effort required to navigate through a user interface.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Buchanan et al. to include a button to activate the writing model item menu list in a popup window directly from the model active button (document structures 22, in the context of writing the model content to the file editing block) so that the user could quickly increase the customized model contents without having to navigate through the drop down menu.

In regard to claim 10, Buchanan et al. disclose the writing model active button is on the input method interface (the document structures list 22 is presented in the input method interface, as in Fig. 11; selecting from a listed menu is equivalent in operation to selecting an active button).

In regard to claims 11-14, Buchanan et al. disclose the writing model menu item list comprises a plurality of writing model items (the document structures list 22 contains a plurality of writing model items, see Fig. 11). Buchanan et al. further disclose the computer 10 includes the basic input devices of a mouse 12, a keyboard 18, and a digital touch panel (column 3, line 36 and lines 50-51).

Buchanan et al. does not disclose that the writing model menu item list comprises a confirmation button that generates a signal of selecting writing model items through the operation of selecting the confirmation button, which is selected through a basic input device, such as a keyboard, a mouse, a digital touch pad, or a voice identification system.

Official notice is taken that it is notoriously well known and recognized in the art to include a confirmation button (such as an OK button) to ensure the user does not make an unintended choice from a list of menu items.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Buchanan et al. to include a confirmation button that would select writing model items through the operation of selecting the confirmation button, to ensure the user would select the writing model item that the user intended to select.

Furthermore, in order to select a confirmation button, the user must necessarily do so through the use of a basic input device, either the mouse 12 or the keyboard 18.

In regard to claims 15 and 16, Buchanan et al. disclose a plurality of writing model item names and that these model item names are selected to retrieve the

corresponding writing model contents from the database (Fig. 11 gives an example of the document structure list 22 used to select a particular document structure, the content of which is subsequently displayed for the user in the middle section window 54).

Buchanan et al. is silent as to the particular relationship between the document structure names and the corresponding document structure content.

Official notice is taken that it is notoriously well known and recognized in the art to correspond one piece of data (such as a file name) with another piece of data (such as the content that the file name refers to) in a table.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Buchanan et al. to store the relationship between the model item names and the corresponding content model content in a lookup table in the database, since tables provide a quickly searchable and easily programmable means for relating the model item names to the corresponding content.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Koda et al. (U.S. Patent 5,749,083) disclose an additional method for providing writing models.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2655

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian L Albertalli whose telephone number is (571) 272-7616. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM, every second Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,351

Art Unit: 2655

Page 13

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BLA 6/3/2005